

DOI 10.26565/2410-1249-2025-24-02
UDC 159.9.072

ETHICAL INFRASTRUCTURE FOR MENTAL HEALTH PRACTITIONERS

Paul Diaconescu

*BA in Psychology and Sociology, MS in Philosophy and applied ethics
Person-centered Psychotherapist in my private practice, Bucharest Romania
E-mail pauldiaconescu@me.com; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6644-8794>*

This report examines ethical infrastructure in professional organizations for mental health practitioners, drawing on a wide review of academic literature to explore its historical development, main components, implementation challenges, leading global practices, and its impact on both practitioners and clients. The strongest ethical infrastructures seamlessly integrate formal systems with a positive organizational culture and supportive climate. This combination makes ethics not merely a matter of rule-following but something embedded in values and everyday practice. Formal policies are more effective when they are reinforced by informal norms and shared ethical commitments. Conversely, a mismatch between official expectations and real-life dynamics can significantly weaken the entire structure. To fully appreciate the significance and complexity of ethical infrastructure, a thorough understanding of its historical and theoretical foundations is essential. Ethical thinking has evolved from early 20th-century medical models that prioritized diagnosis over autonomy, to Freud's psychoanalysis addressing transference and power imbalances, and Rogers' client-centered approach emphasizing empathy. Post-WWII humanistic developments and the rise of secularism after the Industrial Revolution stimulated diverse therapeutic approaches, prompting organizations such as the APA and NASW to develop codes addressing boundaries, consent, and privacy. Mental health services demand a firm commitment to ethics. Ethical infrastructure in organizations supporting practitioners combines formal systems—such as codes of ethics, training, hotlines, committees, informed consent procedures, and confidentiality protocols—with informal elements such as culture, leadership, and peer norms. This dynamic configuration fosters ethical behavior, reduces misconduct, protects vulnerable clients, upholds professional integrity, and supports sustainable mental health services. Equally important is the shift from rigid, punitive structures towards more supportive forms of ethical education and guidance for practitioners.

Keywords: *ethical infrastructure, ethical behavior, ethical obligations, boundaries, privacy, code*

The provision of mental health services necessitates an unwavering commitment to ethical conduct. Ethical infrastructure within professional organizations serving mental health practitioners represents the formal and informal systems established to cultivate such conduct and mitigate the occurrence of unethical behavior. This framework extends beyond a mere compilation of rules and instead constitutes a comprehensive system that shapes the ethical efficacy of these organizations. It encompasses explicit, documented elements such as codes of ethics and regulations, alongside more subtle yet equally influential aspects of organizational culture and incentive systems. The establishment and maintenance of a robust ethical infrastructure are crucial for upholding the integrity of the profession, protecting the vulnerable individuals who seek mental health support, and fostering a positive and sustainable working environment for practitioners. To fully appreciate the significance and complexities of ethical infrastructure, a thorough understanding of its historical and theoretical underpinnings is essential. This report aims to provide an in-depth analysis of ethical infrastructure in professional organizations for mental health practitioners, drawing upon a comprehensive review of academic literature to explore its historical evolution, key components, implementation challenges, global best practices, and its profound impact on both practitioners and clients.

The ethical considerations inherent in the practice of psychotherapy have evolved considerably alongside the field itself. Early perspectives on mental health, particularly in the early 20th century, were largely influenced by a medical model. This approach often viewed mental health through a somewhat mechanistic lens, focusing on diagnosis and treatment of pathology. Such a perspective may have initially fostered a more paternalistic ethical stance, wherein the mental health practitioner held primary authority in determining the course of care. The emphasis on identifying and rectifying perceived defects in the nervous system, as was common during this period, sometimes led to treatments that prioritized the practitioner's medical judgment over the individual's autonomy. Understanding the historical trajectory of ethical principles in philosophy provides a vital context for comprehending their subsequent application within the realm of psychotherapy. The development of ethical thought in philosophy, with its exploration of concepts such as duty, consequences, and character, has undoubtedly shaped the moral reasoning that underpins contemporary ethical guidelines in mental health [1–4].

As psychotherapy emerged as a distinct field, pioneered by influential figures like Sigmund Freud and Carl Rogers, new ethical dilemmas arose, particularly concerning the unique dynamics of the therapeutic relationship. Freud's psychoanalytic approach, with its

How to cite: Diaconescu, P. (2025). Ethical infrastructure for mental health practitioners, *Psychological Counseling and Psychotherapy*, 24, 15-20. <https://doi.org/10.26565/2410-1249-2025-24-02>

Як цитувати: Diaconescu, P. (2025). Ethical infrastructure for mental health practitioners, *Психологічне консультування і психотерапія*, 24, 15-20. <https://doi.org/10.26565/2410-1249-2025-24-02>

© Diaconescu, P., 2025; CC BY 4.0 license

emphasis on the unconscious and the therapist's role in interpretation, presented ethical considerations related to transference, countertransference, and the potential power imbalance inherent in the therapist–patient dynamic [8, 24, 40–42]. Conversely, Rogers' humanistic, client-centered therapy, which prioritized the client's autonomy and self-discovery, brought forth different ethical imperatives centered on empathy, genuineness, and unconditional positive regard. The shift from a predominantly medical to a more psychological understanding of mental health highlighted ethical challenges specific to the emotional intimacy and power dynamics inherent in the therapeutic alliance [1][2][3][4][5][6].

Major historical events and evolving societal values have also profoundly shaped the ethical landscape of psychotherapy. The aftermath of World War II, for instance, witnessed the rise of humanistic psychology, a movement that placed significant emphasis on individual dignity, autonomy, and subjective experience. This paradigm shift in psychological thought mirrored broader societal trends towards the prioritization of human rights and individual freedoms, particularly in Western societies. The atrocities of the war likely contributed to a heightened awareness of the intrinsic worth of each individual, influencing the development of ethical principles in psychotherapy that underscored client empowerment and self-determination. Furthermore, the increasing influence of secularism and scientific thinking following the Industrial Revolution spurred the development of diverse psychotherapeutic approaches. As mental health practices moved away from traditional systems like religious institutions, new ethical frameworks were needed to guide practitioners operating from varying philosophical backgrounds and motivations. The challenge of applying rigorous scientific methods to the subjective experience of the psyche created inherent limitations and biases, further complicating the ethical considerations within the field [7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14].

In response to these evolving ethical challenges and societal changes, professional organizations began to develop formal ethical codes and guidelines. Organizations like the American Psychological Association (APA) and the National Association of Social Workers (NASW) established comprehensive codes of ethics to provide clear guidance for practitioners and promote accountability. The formalization of ethical standards reflected a growing professional recognition of the need for explicit guidelines to navigate the complexities of mental health practice and ensure the well-being of both practitioners and clients. Key seminal works and publications have significantly contributed to the ongoing discourse on psychotherapy ethics. These scholarly contributions have explored a wide range of ethical issues, including professional boundaries, confidentiality, informed consent, and the ethical implications of different therapeutic approaches, thereby shaping the ethical consciousness of the field [13][15][16][17][18][19][20][21].

The concept of "ethical infrastructure" has gained increasing prominence in discussions surrounding

organizational ethics, including within the context of mental health services.

Ethical infrastructure encompasses the formal and informal mechanisms that an organization implements to foster ethical behavior and prevent unethical conduct among its members. This infrastructure is not merely a static set of rules but rather a dynamic and interconnected system that shapes the ethical effectiveness of the organization. It acknowledges that ethical behavior is influenced by a multitude of factors, ranging from explicit policies to the prevailing organizational culture [8].

The formal components of ethical infrastructure are the explicit, documented, and standardized elements that provide a clear framework for ethical practice. These include codes of conduct, which serve as foundational documents detailing ethical principles and expected standards of behavior [13, 18, 21, 23, 32–34]. Ethics programs represent more comprehensive initiatives that encompass various elements such as ethics training, communication plans, mechanisms for monitoring adherence to ethical standards, accountability policies, and reporting avenues like hotlines. Ethics training plays a crucial role in educating practitioners about ethical principles, relevant codes of conduct, and ethical decision-making models. Formal communication systems are essential for disseminating ethical values and expectations throughout the organization, often through mission statements, policy documents, and regular communications. Surveillance systems, such as reporting hotlines and the presence of ombudsmen, provide mechanisms for monitoring adherence to ethical principles and identifying potential violations. Sanctioning systems establish clear procedures for addressing ethical violations and imposing appropriate disciplinary actions. Ethics committees offer guidance on complex ethical issues, review organizational policies from an ethical perspective, and promote ethical reflection among members. In the realm of mental health practice, formal systems also include informed consent protocols, which ensure that clients understand the nature of their treatment, including potential risks and benefits, and their right to make autonomous decisions.

Confidentiality policies provide clear guidelines on the protection of client information and the circumstances under which disclosure may be permissible. Finally, formal reporting mechanisms offer confidential avenues for individuals to raise ethical concerns without fear of retaliation [9][10][14][15][16][17][18][19][21][8][13].

Beyond these formal structures, the informal dimensions of ethical infrastructure exert a significant influence on ethical conduct within mental health organizations. Organizational culture, characterized by shared ethical values, beliefs, and norms, profoundly shapes how individuals perceive and approach ethical issues. The ethical climate, reflecting shared perceptions of ethical practices, respect, and justice within the workplace, contributes to a sense of psychological safety and influences ethical decision-making. Leadership behavior plays a critical role, with leaders who act as ethical role models and consistently demonstrate a

commitment to ethical values setting the tone for the entire organization. Peer influence, stemming from informal communication and social norms among colleagues, can also significantly impact individual ethical choices and behaviors [3][12].

The effectiveness of an ethical infrastructure is significantly enhanced by the alignment and integration of these formal and informal systems. When formal rules and policies are reinforced by a positive ethical culture, ethical leadership, and peer support, they are more likely to be internalized and consistently followed by practitioners [7, 10, 29–31, 37, 38].

Conversely, discrepancies between formal expectations and informal norms can undermine the credibility and effectiveness of the entire ethical infrastructure. A cohesive and integrated approach ensures that ethical conduct is not only mandated by organizational rules but also deeply embedded in the organization's values and practices.[22]

At the core of any effective ethical infrastructure for mental health practitioners lie a set of fundamental ethical principles that guide their professional conduct and decision-making.

These principles are widely recognized across various ethical codes and serve as the bedrock of ethical practice in the field [10][11][15][13].

Beneficence complements nonmaleficence by requiring practitioners to actively work for the good of their clients and to promote their mental health and overall well-being. This principle necessitates a proactive approach to care, involving the provision of effective treatments, the prevention of harm, and advocacy for client needs. Mental health professionals are expected to utilize their knowledge and skills to benefit their clients and to contribute to their overall improvement and recovery [19][10].

Dignity or the paradigm of human dignity *stricto sensu* is conceived as a universal ethical and legal principle, which emphasizes the fact that all human beings have an intrinsic value and inalienable rights by the mere fact of being human. Promoting patient dignity has always been a cornerstone of medical ethics, and it has become even more crucial in the context of time pressures in modern psychotherapy. Emphasizing the notion that each individual is uniquely valuable and deserves high regard is more important than ever today [11][22].

Autonomy, a central tenet of ethical practice, emphasizes respecting the client's right to self-determination and their freedom to make choices regarding their treatment and life direction.

This principle reflects a historical shift towards recognizing the client as an active participant in their care, rather than a passive recipient. Upholding autonomy requires practitioners to provide clients with comprehensive information about their condition, treatment options, potential risks and benefits, and to support their decision-making processes, even when those decisions may differ from the practitioner's recommendations.[18][23][9][10]

Confidentiality and intimacy are identical in the sense that each is at the opposite pole of public: what is private

is not public, and what is confidential is not public. However, confidentiality and anonymity are not the same thing. What is private is isolated, unique, and belongs to an individual. What is confidential is shared, and although it still belongs to one party, trust is what gives the other party ownership of the information. Confidentiality assumes the renunciation of personal intimacy, while intimacy does not [15][10].

Justice demands that practitioners treat all individuals equitably and foster fairness and equality in the provision of their services, guarding against bias and discrimination. This principle requires practitioners to be aware of and address systemic inequalities that may affect their clients' access to care. It emphasizes the importance of cultural competence and the equitable allocation of healthcare resources to ensure that all individuals receive the quality of care they need, regardless of their background or circumstances [14][20][24][13][22].

In practice, applying these principles often involves navigating complex situations where they may come into conflict. Ethical decision-making in such instances requires a nuanced approach, demanding critical thinking, professional judgment, and sometimes consultation with colleagues. Practitioners must also be mindful of their clients' diverse cultural backgrounds, as the interpretation and prioritization of these principles can vary across different cultures. Cultural competence is therefore essential for ensuring that ethical principles are applied in a way that respects clients' values and beliefs [21][24][13][14][18][19][22].

Professional organizations play a crucial role in establishing and upholding ethical standards for mental health practitioners. These organizations, such as the European Association of Psychotherapy (EAP) and various national bodies, develop and disseminate comprehensive codes of ethics that serve as essential guides for practitioners [18, 21–23, 27, 32–34]. These codes outline the expected standards of professional conduct, responsibilities, and obligations related to the therapeutic relationship, confidentiality, professional responsibility, research, and other critical areas of practice. By providing a clear framework for ethical behavior, these codes promote consistency and accountability within the profession. Furthermore, ethical codes are dynamic documents that are regularly reviewed and updated to reflect evolving societal values, legal standards, technological advancements such as telehealth, and current best practices [19–21, 24]. This ensures that the ethical guidelines remain relevant and effective in addressing the contemporary challenges faced by mental health practitioners [10][13][15].

Beyond setting ethical standards, professional organizations also play a vital role in enforcing these standards. Membership in such organizations typically requires adherence to the established code of ethics. Ethics committees within these organizations are responsible for investigating complaints of unethical conduct filed against their members [18, 21, 32–34].

These committees possess the authority to impose a range of sanctions, which can vary from educational

mandates aimed at rectifying ethical knowledge deficits to suspension or even expulsion from the organization. In some cases, professional organizations may also notify state licensing boards of serious ethical violations, further reinforcing accountability within the profession. Additionally, state licensing boards themselves enforce ethical standards through their own regulations, which are often aligned with the codes of ethics promulgated by professional associations. These enforcement mechanisms are critical for ensuring accountability, deterring unethical behavior, and ultimately protecting the public who rely on the services of mental health practitioners. Recognizing the importance of supporting ethical practice, professional organizations also provide a variety of resources to their members.

These resources may include consultation services for navigating complex ethical dilemmas, best practice guidelines that offer practical advice on ethical issues, and ethical training programs designed to enhance practitioners' ethical awareness and decision-making skills [5][16][13][15][21].

In the European context, both the European Association for Psychotherapy (EAP) and the European Federation of Psychologists' Associations (EFPA) play significant roles in setting and upholding ethical standards. The EAP, through its Statement of Ethical Principles, establishes a detailed ethical framework for psychotherapists across Europe. Furthermore, the EAP's Common Training Framework for the European Certificate of Psychotherapy (ECP) integrates ethical principles into the rigorous training standards required for certification, aiming to ensure high and standardized ethical practice among psychotherapists in member countries. The European Federation of Psychologists' Associations (EFPA) provides a foundational ethical framework for psychologists throughout Europe through its Meta-Code of Ethics and Model Code of Ethics. The EFPA Meta-Code serves as a reference for national psychology associations in Europe to develop and revise their own ethical codes, promoting a degree of convergence in ethical standards across the continent. While core ethical principles often exhibit considerable overlap across different professional organizations and regions, specific standards and their relative emphasis may vary. Understanding these nuances is particularly important for practitioners who may be working in international or cross-cultural contexts [4][6][24][11][22][10].

A robust ethical infrastructure within mental health organizations is characterized by the effective integration of both formal and informal systems, working in concert to promote ethical conduct and mitigate unethical behavior [10, 29–31, 37, 38]. Formal systems provide the explicit framework for ethical practice through documented structures and procedures.

Codes of conduct are foundational, articulating the core ethical principles and expected standards of behavior for all members of the organization [13, 18, 21, 23, 32–34]. Their effectiveness hinges on their clarity, accessibility, and consistent application within the organizational context.

Ethics programs offer a more comprehensive approach, encompassing a range of activities designed to foster ethical awareness and manage ethical risks. These programs typically include ethics training initiatives, which equip practitioners with the necessary knowledge and skills to identify and navigate ethical dilemmas. Ongoing and interactive training methods, such as case studies and reflective exercises, are particularly valuable in promoting ethical competency. Formal communication systems play a vital role in disseminating ethical values and expectations throughout the organization. This can be achieved through various channels, including mission statements, policy documents, newsletters, and regular staff meetings, ensuring that ethical principles are consistently reinforced. Surveillance systems, such as confidential reporting hotlines and the presence of an ombudsman, provide mechanisms for monitoring adherence to ethical standards and offer safe avenues for raising ethical concerns. Fair and consistently applied sanctioning systems are essential for reinforcing accountability and deterring unethical conduct. Clear procedures for investigating ethical violations and implementing disciplinary actions are crucial for maintaining the integrity of the ethical infrastructure [18, 21, 32–34]. Ethics committees serve as valuable resources for providing guidance on complex ethical issues, reviewing organizational policies from an ethical standpoint, and fostering ethical reflection among practitioners. In the specific context of mental health, formal systems also include standardized informed consent protocols, ensuring that clients are fully informed about their treatment and their right to make autonomous decisions. Comprehensive confidentiality policies are paramount for protecting sensitive client information and building trust within the therapeutic relationship. These policies must clearly outline the limits of confidentiality and the circumstances under which disclosure may be required. Finally, accessible and confidential reporting mechanisms empower individuals to raise ethical concerns without fear of reprisal, contributing to a culture of ethical awareness and accountability [16][17][8][9][13][14][15][18][19][21][10].

Informal systems, while less explicit, often exert a more profound influence on the daily ethical behavior of individuals within mental health organizations. Organizational culture, defined by shared ethical values, beliefs, and norms, shapes the overall ethical orientation of the workplace. A strong ethical culture fosters an environment where ethical conduct is expected and valued. The ethical climate, reflecting the shared perceptions of ethical practices, respect, and justice among organizational members, contributes to a sense of trust and psychological safety. A positive ethical climate encourages ethical decision-making and discourages unethical behavior [29–31]. Leadership behavior is a critical informal component, with leaders who consistently model ethical conduct and demonstrate a genuine commitment to ethical values setting a powerful example for their teams. Ethical leaders inspire trust and promote ethical practices throughout the organization. Peer influence, the informal communication and social norms among colleagues, can also significantly impact individual ethical choices. In a

work environment where ethical behavior is the prevailing norm, individuals are more likely to adhere to high ethical standards [29–31][12][3].

The most effective ethical infrastructures are those where formal systems are seamlessly integrated with and strongly supported by a positive ethical culture and climate. This synergistic effect ensures that ethical conduct is not merely a matter of compliance with rules but is deeply ingrained in the organization's values and everyday practices [7, 10, 29–31, 37,38]. Formal policies and procedures gain greater traction when they are reinforced by informal norms and a shared commitment to ethical principles. Conversely, a disconnect between formal expectations and informal realities can undermine the effectiveness of the entire ethical infrastructure.

Conflicts of interest. The author declares that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Honderich, T., & Lloyd-Hazlett, P. (2016). *Ethics: The basics*. Routledge. <https://manifold.counseling.org/read/content-analysis-of-counseling-ethics-and-decision-making/section/0ecfb171-c84f-46c1-aba3-5506fba47d40>
- [2] Aristotle. (4th century BC). *Nicomachean Ethics*. <http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/nicomachaen.html>
- [3] Assagioli, R. (1969). *Psychosynthesis: A collection of basic writings*. Viking Press. <https://archive.org/details/psychosynthesis-a-manual-of-p-assagioli-roberto-1888/mode/2up>
- [4] Evans, J. (2012). *Philosophy for life and other dangerous situations*. Rider Books. <https://archive.org/details/philosophyforlif0000evan/mode/2up>
- [5] Casas, J. M., Park, D., & Cho, H. Z. (2010). Multicultural counseling competence: A call to the profession. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 57(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1556-6676.1992.tb01642.x>
- [6] Chaney, S. (2017). *Psyche on the skin: A history of self-harm*. Reaktion. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2017-13161-000>
- [7] Cohen, D. (1997). *Carl Rogers: A critical biography*. Constable. https://books.google.com.ua/books/about/Carl_Rogers.htm?id=YJdLPgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y
- [8] Danto, E. (2005). *Freud's free clinics: Psychoanalysis and social justice, 1918–1938*. Columbia University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00030651060540030702>
- [9] Dryden, W., & Still, A. (2012). The historical and philosophical context of rational psychotherapy: The legacy of Epictetus. *Karnac*. <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2012-17263-000>
- [10] Einarsen, K., Skogstad, A., Einarsen, S., & Glasø, V. B. (2017). Ethical infrastructure and successful handling of reported workplace bullying. *Nordic Journal of Working Life Studies*, 7(S3), 27–46. <https://doi.org/10.18291/njwls.v7iS3.102841>
- [11] ffytche, M., & Pick, D. (2016). *Psychoanalysis in the age of totalitarianism*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Psychoanalysis-in-the-Age-of-Totalitarianism/ffytche-Pick/p/book/9781138793897>
- [12] Gauchet, M., & Swain, G. (1999). *Madness and democracy: The modern idea of mental illness*. Princeton University Press. <https://press.princeton.edu/books/ebook/9781400822874/madness-and-democracy>
- [13] Hunt, S. D., Chonko, J. R., & Wilcox, J. B. (1984). Ethical problems of marketing researchers. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 21(3), 309–324. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378402100308>
- [14] Jacob, S., Decker, T. K., & Hartshorne, T. S. (2011). *Ethics and law for school psychologists (6th ed.)*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://books.google.com.gh/books?id=Ah46bLtwsiYC&pg=PP1&hl=uk&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false>
- [15] Karasu, T. B. (2013). *The ethics of psychotherapy*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9780262019682.003.0003>
- [16] Kimmel, A. J. (1996). *Ethical issues in behavioral research: A survey*. Blackwell Publishers. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Ethical+Issues+in+Behavioral+Research:+A+Survey-p-9781557863959>
- [17] Kitchener, K. S. (1984). Intuition, critical evaluation and ethical principles: The foundation for ethical decisions in counseling psychology. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 12(3), 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000084123005>
- [18] Koocher, G. P. (2008). *Ethical issues in psychology*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://books.google.com/books?id=8AV9AAAAMAAJ>
- [19] Lasser, J. S., & Klose, P. S. (2007). *Ethical issues in school counseling*. Routledge.
- [20] Levitt, D. H., Farry, T. J., & Mazzarella, J. M. (2015). *Values and ethics in counseling: Real-life case studies*. Routledge. <https://www.routledge.com/Values-and-Ethics-in-Counseling-Real-Life-Ethical-Decision-Making/Levitt-Moorhead/p/book/9780415898799>
- [21] Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864>
- [22] Reamer, F. G. (2005). *Social work values and ethics (2nd ed.)*. Columbia University Press. <https://books.google.com/books?id=rD3bCa5wkrYC>
- [23] Lindsay, G. (2008). *Ethics for European psychologists*. Hogrefe & Huber Pub. <https://books.google.com/books?id=gEtfAgAAQBAJ>
- [24] Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2012). *Principles of biomedical ethics (7th ed.)*. Oxford University Press. https://archive.org/details/principlesofbiom0000beau_k8c1
- [25] Lišková, K. (2016). *Sexual liberation, socialist style: Communist Czechoslovakia and the science of desire, 1948–1989*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108341332>
- [26] Makari, G. J. (2008). *Revolution in mind: The creation of psychoanalysis*. W. W. Norton & Company. <https://archive.org/details/revolutioninmind0000maka/mode/2up>
- [27] Moss, D. (1999). *Carl Rogers: A life in process*. Houghton Mifflin. <https://www.abc-clio.com/products/a2974c/>
- [28] National Association of Social Workers. (2017). *NASW Code of Ethics*. <https://www.socialworkers.org/About/Ethics/Code-of-Ethics/Code-of-Ethics-English>

- [29] Dryden, W. (1996). *Developments in psychotherapy: Historical perspectives*. Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446279885>
- [30] Ehrenwald, J. (1991). *The history of psychotherapy*. Jason Aronson. <https://archive.org/details/historyofpsychot00ehrerich/page/n5/mode/2up>
- [31] European Federation of Psychologists' Associations (EFPA). (2005). *Meta-Code of Ethics*. <http://ethics.efpa.eu/meta-code/>
- [32] Neukrug, E. S. (1996). *Theory, practice, and trends in human services: An introduction* (2nd ed.). Brooks/Cole. <https://archive.org/details/theorypracticetr0005neuk>
- [33] British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy. (2018). *Ethical Framework for the Counselling Professions*. <https://www.bacp.co.uk/events-and-resources/ethics-and-standards/ethical-framework-for-the-counselling-professions/>
- [34] Neukrug, E. S. (2017). *Theory, practice, and trends in human services* (7th ed.). Cengage Learning. <https://www.cengage.com/c/theory-practice-and-trends-in-human-services-an-introduction-7e-neukrug/9780357935972>
- [35] O'Brien, B. S., & Golding, S. L. (2003). The psychologist as gatekeeper: A review of judicial gatekeeping of psychological testimony under Daubert. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34(1), 70–79.
- [36] Oramas, L. A. (2017). *Ethical and professional issues in counseling*. SAGE Publications.
- [37] Rogers, C. R. (1951). *Client-centered therapy: Its current practice, implications and theory*. Constable. <https://archive.org/details/clientcenteredth00roge/mode/2up>
- [38] Singhapakdi, A., Vitell, S. J., & Kraft, K. L. (1996). Moral intensity and ethical decision-making of marketing professionals. *Journal of Business Research*, 36(3), 245–255. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963\(95\)00155-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0148-2963(95)00155-7)
- [39] Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan. <https://www.bf Skinner.org/newtestsite/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/ScienceHumanBehavior.pdf>
- [40] Tenbrunsel, A. E., Smith-Crowe, K., & Umphress, E. E. (2003). Building houses on rocks: The role of ethical infrastructure in organizations. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 17(3), 87–99. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025992813613>
- [41] Tiberius, V., & Hall, E. (2010). Normative theory and subjective well-being. In D. M. Haybron & V. Tiberius (Eds.), *Well-being and morality: Essays in honour of James Griffin* (pp. 217–238). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439761003790955>
- [42] Tribe, R., & Morrissey, J. (2020). *Handbook of professional and ethical practice for psychologists, counsellors and psychotherapists* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://books.google.com/books?id=zTxyBgAAQBAJ>

ЕТИЧНА ІНФРАСТРУКТУРА ДЛЯ ПРАКТИКУЮЧИХ В ОБЛАСТІ МЕНТАЛЬНОГО ЗДОРОВ'Я

Пол Діаконеску

*Особистісно орієнтований психотерапевт з приватної практики, Бухарест, Румунія
Бакалавр психології та соціології, ступінь магістра філософії та прикладної етики*

У цій статті розглядається етична інфраструктура в професійних організаціях для практикуючих психіатричних лікарів, спираючись на широкий огляд академічної літератури для вивчення її історичного розвитку, основних компонентів, проблем впровадження, провідних світових практик і її впливу як на практикуючих лікарів, так і на клієнтів. Найсильніша етична інфраструктура бездоганно інтегрує формальні системи з позитивною організаційною культурою та сприятливим кліматом. Ця комбінація робить етику не просто питанням дотримання правил, а чимось вбудованим у цінності та повсякденну практику. Офіційна політика є більш ефективною, коли вона підкріплена неформальними нормами та спільними етичними зобов'язаннями. І навпаки, невідповідність між офіційними очікуваннями та динамікою реального життя може значно послабити всю структуру. Щоб повною мірою оцінити важливість і складність етичної інфраструктури, необхідно глибоке розуміння її історичних і теоретичних основ. Етичне мислення еволюціонувало від медичних моделей початку 20-го століття, які надавали перевагу діагностиці над автономією, до психоаналізу Фрейда, що розглядає перенесення та дисбаланс влади, і підходу Роджерса, орієнтованого на клієнта, який наголошує на емпатії. Гуманістичний розвиток після Другої світової війни та піднесення секуляризму після промислової революції стимулювали різноманітні терапевтичні підходи, спонукаючи такі організації, як APA та NASW, розробити кодекси, що стосуються кордонів, згоди та конфіденційності. Послуги з охорони психічного здоров'я вимагають твердого дотримання етики. Етична інфраструктура в організаціях, що підтримують практиків, поєднує формальні системи, такі як кодекси етики, навчання, гарячі лінії, комітети, процедури інформованої згоди та протоколи конфіденційності, з неформальними елементами, такими як культура, лідерство та норми однопітків. Ця динамічна конфігурація сприяє етичній поведінці, зменшує кількість неправомірної поведінки, захищає вразливих клієнтів, підтримує професійну доброчесність і підтримує стійкі служби психічного здоров'я. Не менш важливим є перехід від жорстких, каральних структур до більш сприятливих форм етичної освіти та настанов для практиків.

Ключові слова: етична інфраструктура, етична поведінка, етичні зобов'язання, кордони, конфіденційність, кодекс

The article was received by the editors 02.11.2025 (Стаття надійшла до редакції 02.11.2025)

The article is recommended for printing 09.12.2025 (Стаття рекомендована до друку 09.12.2025)

Published 30.12.2025 (Опублікована 30.12.2025)