

<https://doi.org/10.26565/2310-9513-2026-23-11>
UDC 331.101.32:005.8:614.2
JEL Index: M12, M54, I11, D23

THE IMPACT OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS COMMUNICATION IN A REHABILITATION DEPARTMENT ON JOB SATISFACTION AND PREVENTING TEAM BURNOUT

Yurii Petrashyk

PhD, Associate Professor
Department of Public Health and Healthcare Management
Ivan Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University
of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine
Maidan Voli, 1, Ternopil, 46001, Ukraine
e-mail: yurii.m.petrashyk@tdmu.edu.ua
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1286-110X>
Scopus Author ID: 57204442598

Mykhailo Vasylyk

Healthcare Management Masters student
Ivan Horbachevsky Ternopil National Medical University of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine
Maidan Voli, 1, Ternopil, 46001, Ukraine
e-mail: vasylyk_myhmyk@tdmu.edu.ua
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8837-7069>

The subject of this research encompasses the intersection of human resources management, organizational psychology, and healthcare administration. It specifically examines how the integration of clinical project management frameworks and structured business communication modalities influences multidimensional job satisfaction and mitigates professional burnout among specialized rehabilitation department personnel. The primary goal is to comprehensively evaluate the complex, multidimensional relationship between the tripartite structural model of occupational burnout and distinct facets of subjective job satisfaction, thereby generating actionable project management and human resources interventions designed to stabilize clinical workforces. The objective is to rigorously test six directional hypotheses concerning the correlational, univariate, multivariate, and predictive relationships between emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, reduced personal accomplishment, and five job satisfaction variables. This empirical evaluation is deeply contextualized within the broader global literature concerning the psychological health of rehabilitation professionals across varying socioeconomic and organizational infrastructures. The methodology employs a cross-sectional correlational research design utilizing a specialized 22-item adaptation of the Maslach Burnout Inventory alongside a targeted 5-item job satisfaction scale. This instrument was distributed to a specialized multidisciplinary rehabilitation cohort (N=24). The rigorous statistical evaluation process includes Cronbach's alpha internal consistency validation, Shapiro-Wilk distributional normality tests, Pearson and Spearman correlation matrices, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc testing, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis corroboration, MANOVA for multivariate profile differentiation, and simultaneous multiple linear regression. Results: The analysis indicates a severe prevalence of emotional exhaustion, with 33.3% of the cohort classified at high risk. Despite this, depersonalization emerges as the strongest unique negative predictor of overall job satisfaction in multivariate space ($\beta=-0.824$, $p=.008$). MANOVA testing confirms highly significant multivariate profile differentiation across satisfaction groups (Wilks' $\Lambda=.216$, $p<.001$). A critical anomaly reveals no significant correlation between objective workload appropriateness and any burnout subscale. Conclusions: The conclusions demonstrate that professional burnout is profoundly and inextricably linked to job dissatisfaction, driven primarily by relational and communicative detachment (depersonalization) rather than objective task volume. Effective prevention requires systemic, structural clinical project management interventions, emphasizing communication optimization, leadership development, and the protection of clinical autonomy over individual resilience training.

Keywords: *project management, burnout, job satisfaction, business communication, rehabilitation specialists, organizational behavior, healthcare administration, multidisciplinary teams, human resources management.*

In cites: Petrashyk, Y., & Vasylyk, M. (2026). The impact of project management and business communication in a rehabilitation department on job satisfaction and preventing team burnout. *The Journal of V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University. Series International Relations. Economics. Country Studies. Tourism*, (23), 131–141. <https://doi.org/10.26565/2310-9513-2026-23-11>

1. Introduction

The contemporary rehabilitation department functions as an intricately designed matrix organization wherein multidisciplinary teams—typically comprising physical therapists, occupational therapists, speech-language pathologists, specialized rehabilitation nurses, and allied psychosocial support staff—must collaboratively execute highly individualized, protracted, and patient-centric treatment plans. [1] The inherent nature of this specialized clinical environment is characterized by extraordinarily high emotional demands, structural and economic resource constraints, and the necessity for continuous, high-stakes interpersonal engagement. Consequently, this intersection of systemic pressure and emotional labor positions rehabilitation specialists at an acutely elevated risk for the development of severe professional burnout. [2] While the psychological and clinical dimensions of this occupational phenomenon have been extensively documented across various global healthcare systems, the administrative, structural, and operational variables—most notably the application of formal project management methodologies and the efficacy of internal business communication—remain vastly underexplored as central mitigating levers. [3]

Professional burnout is fundamentally conceptualized through a tripartite structural model comprising emotional exhaustion, depersonalization (frequently operationalized as cynicism), and reduced personal accomplishment. [4] The contemporary scholarly consensus vehemently rejects the notion that burnout is merely an individual psychological failure or a deficit in personal resilience; rather, it is recognized as an emergent, catastrophic property of systemic organizational deficits and structural mismanagement. [5] The systematic global literature demonstrates that up to half of all practicing rehabilitation professionals experience debilitating levels of burnout, [6] a statistic that catalyzes secondary organizational crises including massive staff retention failures, severe degradations in the quality of patient care, and overarching institutional inefficiency. Concurrently, subjective job satisfaction operates in a dual capacity within this ecosystem: it serves as both a critical psychological buffer against the onset of occupational stress and as a primary casualty of the burnout cascade once structural degradation begins. [7]

This comprehensive research provides an exhaustive empirical and theoretical synthesis detailing exactly how structured clinical project management architectures and transparent, equal-footing business communication protocols can stabilize the clinical workforce and arrest the burnout phenomenon. [8] By executing a rigorous original statistical analysis on a highly tailored dataset of multidisciplinary rehabilitation specialists, and systematically evaluating these findings against a vast array of contemporary global literature, this analysis

dissects the specific pathways through which human resources and managerial interventions alter the psychological trajectory of clinical teams.

2. Theoretical Framework and Organizational Context

2.1. Multidisciplinary Rehabilitation Team Through a Project Management Lens

In traditional commercial and technological environments, the discipline of project management relies on strictly defined operational scopes, sophisticated resource leveling, schedule optimization, and proactive stakeholder management. When these exact principles are translated and applied to a healthcare context, a patient's individualized recovery trajectory effectively constitutes a distinct, highly complex project. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation specialists act as cross-functional project team members, [9] while the attending physician, lead therapist, or designated departmental supervisor assumes the operational role of the clinical project manager.

However, standard clinical environments frequently lack this formal project management architecture. When essential project management principles—such as the clear demarcation of role definitions, adaptive workload distribution, and structured, formalized communication protocols—are absent, the entirety of the organizational and cognitive burden defaults onto the frontline clinical staff. [10] This structural deficit immediately translates into objectively excessive workloads, chaotic task delegation, and a catastrophic loss of perceived professional autonomy.

The quantitative evidence supporting this dynamic is striking. Advanced regression modeling in the literature indicates that for every single-point reduction in a therapist's perceived control over their work processes, emotional exhaustion increases by 4.17 points on the Maslach Burnout Inventory scale. [11] By deliberately conceptualizing patient care through a formal project management framework, clinical administrators can deploy proven load-balancing techniques and rigorous scope management to directly interrupt the workload-exhaustion process before it manifests as clinical burnout. [12]

2.2. Business Communication as a Modifier of Organizational Stress

Business communication within a healthcare setting extends far beyond the simple, functional transfer of clinical data or patient metrics; it encompasses the foundational relational infrastructure that dictates psychological safety, role clarity, institutional trust, and team cohesion. [13] The empirical literature strongly emphasizes that management support, peer-to-peer interconnectivity, and supervisory communication conducted on an "equal footing" serve as the most robust, statistically significant protective factors against the development of depersonalization and severe emotional exhaustion. [14]

Poor communication architectures inevitably create information silos, ambiguous performance expectations, and perceived deficits in organizational fairness and reward distribution. Conversely, structured and transparent communication loops actively foster “adaptive reserve.” Adaptive reserve is defined within the literature as a workplace’s collective, intrinsic capacity to navigate and absorb unexpected challenges and systemic shocks. Clinical teams demonstrating high adaptive reserve, which is cultivated entirely through robust, trust-based business communication, demonstrate significantly lower turnover intentions and superior stress resistance, even when forced to operate amidst systemic, prolonged crises. [13]

3. Exhaustive Review of the Global Literature

3.1. The Global Prevalence and Dimensionality of Rehabilitation Burnout

The prevalence rates and the specific dimensional manifestations of occupational burnout across the global rehabilitation sector vary predictably and substantially based on contextual severity, differences in population demographics, measurement criteria, and the underlying strength of regional healthcare infrastructure. Measurement methodologies heavily favor the Maslach Burnout Inventory and its validated variants, ensuring a relatively high degree of cross-study comparability regarding the core subscales. [14]

An analysis of recent global prevalence data highlights the extreme severity of the occupational crisis facing rehabilitation professionals. Among physical and occupational therapists operating within highly demanding acute care environments in the United States, an alarming 47% of practitioners meet the formal categorization for severe burnout. [9] In parallel, a comprehensive narrative review estimating the global workforce establishes baseline burnout prevalence at 30% to 50% across all allied rehabilitation professions. [1] Similarly, among nursing staff operating within German rehabilitation clinics, 41% report being highly emotionally and physically exhausted, a state that directly precipitates absenteeism and elevated turnover rates. [15]

Emotional exhaustion routinely emerges as the most consistently elevated burnout dimension. During periods of acute, systemic environmental stress, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, United States rehabilitation counselors reported staggering emotional exhaustion scores averaging 37.74, a metric that vastly exceeds established high-burnout thresholds. [13] In a study of Italian rehabilitation professionals encompassing physiotherapists, speech therapists, and occupational therapists, 32% of the cohort demonstrated high emotional exhaustion. [14] Furthermore, outpatient physical therapists demonstrate moderate to high emotional exhaustion that maintains a highly significant statistical association with their objective workload. [11]

However, the dominant burnout dimension is capable of shifting dramatically when personnel are subjected to highly traumatic operational stressors. Within the context of wartime rehabilitation centers dedicated to treating wounded soldiers, the reduction of professional achievements emerged as the most profoundly developed burnout component, followed closely by emotional exhaustion. [16] Approximately two-thirds of the staff in these wartime facilities operated at high or average burnout levels. [16] Similarly, in highly constrained, under-resourced peacetime environments, structural deficits warp the burnout profile. Among Irish rheumatology professionals, an overwhelming 65.2% reported clinical burnout in at least one domain, driven heavily by critically low personal accomplishment. [17] Furthermore, demographic variations exist; Portuguese nurse rehabilitation specialists exhibit gender-differentiated burnout patterns, wherein male nurses present with higher absolute scores in emotional exhaustion and cynicism, while female nurses demonstrate greater vulnerability regarding professional effectiveness. [18] In Iran, a staggering 80.4% of surveyed occupational therapists reported mild to moderate burnout symptoms, [19] while rehabilitation professionals in Shanghai demonstrate similarly complex multidimensional burnout profiles driven by institutional disparities. [20]

3.2. Structural, Organizational, and Environmental Risk Factors

The global scholarly consensus unequivocally frames professional burnout as a structural workplace pathology rather than a deficit in individual coping mechanisms. A comprehensive systematic review analyzing the burnout profiles of physical therapists identified 53 distinct risk factors, determining that organizational and structural variables constitute the largest single category at 32%.6 Systemic risk analysis of the healthcare sector confirms that structural misalignments and organizational resource deficits directly precipitate occupational burnout. [2]

Excessive workload represents the primary, most aggressive catalyst for emotional exhaustion. [11] Studies incorporating the Areas of Worklife Survey continually identify a manageable workload as the specific organizational domain furthest removed from professional expectations. For instance, Irish rheumatology professionals rated their workload congruence at a dismal mean of 2.69. [17] The mathematical relationship governing workload, clinical autonomy, and burnout demonstrates highly specific asymmetries: for every single-point increase in perceived workload, emotional exhaustion escalates by 6.49 points, whereas a parallel one-point increase in perceived control decreases exhaustion by only 4.17 points. [11]

Deficient leadership communication, role ambiguity, and a systemic lack of management support drastically

elevate occupational risk. Organizational cultures that dogmatically prioritize raw productivity metrics over person-centered care generate profound moral injury among specialists. [4] When the Health and Safety Executive Management Standards Indicator Tool was deployed among Italian rehabilitation professionals, severe deficits in management support and role clarity were independently and significantly associated with higher burnout expressions. [14] Lithuanian social workers operating within rehabilitation centers specifically cited negative managerial assessments, understaffing, and the resulting chaotic inflation of responsibilities as direct, unmitigated contributors to psychological exhaustion. [8]

Environmental shocks act as massive accelerants to these existing vulnerabilities. Home care rehabilitation professionals in Ontario, Canada, transitioning out of the pandemic, demonstrated severe psychological fracturing; those struggling to cope with daily tasks exhibited triple the odds of developing burnout, while those enduring unexpected life occurrences faced over four times the odds. [21] Similarly, Slovenian vocational rehabilitation workers on temporary contracts experienced significantly higher stress levels than their permanently employed peers due to systemic uncertainty. [12] Finally, inadequate compensation structures compound these variables; income satisfaction was found to be an independently protective variable among U.S. occupational therapy practitioners. [7]

3.3. Protective Factors and the Efficacy of Interventions

While individual-level psychological interventions yield measurable qualitative benefits, the empirical data indicates they are profoundly insufficient when deployed without concurrent organizational restructuring. [9] The most powerful protective factors are fundamentally rooted in optimized business communication, leadership quality, and structural team integration.

Supervisor support consistently emerges as the paramount protective variable across international cohorts. Among United States occupational therapy practitioners, higher perceived supervisor support maintained a highly significant negative association with all burnout subscale scores. [7] High-quality interpersonal relationships at work function as psychological anchors; data from Italy confirmed that robust interpersonal relationships were significantly associated with lower burnout levels, [14] while among Irish professionals, a strong "sense of community" achieved the highest satisfaction rate of all evaluated workplace domains. [17]

Despite the overwhelming theoretical evidence supporting organizational interventions, there remains a massive implementation gap in the global literature. [1] Notable exceptions include a study by Murphy et

al. (2025), which implemented a three-month multi-component intervention combining individual strategies with vital organizational adjustments, yielding documented reductions in emotional exhaustion. [9] Similarly, an older organizational restructuring project by Landi et al. (2008) introduced novel therapeutic-rehabilitation activities that structurally altered workflows and positively impacted employees' burnout levels. [10] Ultimately, the literature advocates for embedding resilience education while simultaneously directing systemic organizational reforms that ensure equitable workload distribution and multicultural competency across rehabilitation leadership. [1, 13]

4. Methodology and Analytical Strategy

To empirically evaluate the complex interactions between occupational burnout, project management efficacy, and business communication dynamics, an original, rigorous statistical analysis was conducted on a defined cohort of active rehabilitation specialists.

4.1. Research Design and Conceptual Domains

The study utilized a cross-sectional correlational research design to mathematically test six highly specific directional hypotheses investigating the relationship between the tripartite model of burnout and five distinct operational facets of job satisfaction. A self-administered electronic survey was distributed to all eligible clinical and administrative staff within the target rehabilitation department, yielding N=24 fully completed responses.

Two primary conceptual domains were strictly operationalized for analysis: Professional Burnout, assessed via its three distinct components: Emotional Exhaustion (EE), Depersonalization (DP), and Personal Accomplishment (PA); and Job Satisfaction, assessed via a multidimensional framework encompassing Working Conditions (JS1), Team Support (JS2), Workload Fit (JS3), Professional Development (JS4), and Work-Life Balance (JS5).

To guide the statistical inquiry, the following formal research hypotheses (H1-H6) were formulated:

H1: Emotional Exhaustion (EE) will be significantly negatively correlated with overall job satisfaction.

H2: Depersonalization (DP) will be significantly negatively correlated with overall job satisfaction.

H3: Personal Accomplishment (PA) will be significantly positively correlated with overall job satisfaction.

H4: Specialists classified into Low, Moderate, and High burnout level groups will demonstrate significantly different mean levels of overall job satisfaction.

H5: The multivariate profile of job satisfaction facets will be significantly differentiated by burnout group membership, and vice versa.

H6: The three burnout subscales, entered simultaneously as predictors, will account for a statistically significant proportion of the variance in overall job satisfaction.

4.2. Instrumentation and Data Preparation

The burnout measurement instrument comprised 22 highly specific items directly adapted from the validated Maslach Burnout Inventory framework, graded on a six-point ordinal frequency scale anchored at 1 (Never) and 6 (Every day). The internal item composition was meticulously mapped to the tripartite model:

Emotional Exhaustion (EE) comprised 10 items (Q1-Q3, Q6, Q8, Q11, Q13, Q14, Q16, Q20) reflecting feelings of severe emotional depletion, clinical fatigue, and psychological disengagement. Higher numerical scores indicate greater exhaustion severity.

Depersonalization (DP) comprised 4 items (Q5, Q10, Q15, Q22) measuring emotional distancing, interpersonal callousness, and reduced empathy toward both colleagues and patients. Higher scores denote greater cynicism.

Personal Accomplishment (PA) comprised 8 items (Q4, Q7, Q9, Q12, Q17, Q18, Q19, Q21) reflecting perceived clinical competence, professional efficacy, and intrinsic meaning derived from therapeutic work.

For the execution of composite burnout scoring and regression modeling, the PA subscale was mathematically reverse-coded ($PA' = 7 - PA$). This data transformation ensured that higher values uniformly represented an increased burnout burden across all three vectors, drastically simplifying the interpretation of the multivariate output.

Subjective job satisfaction was measured via a 5-item scale utilizing an 11-point numeric rating format (1=extremely dissatisfied; 10=extremely satisfied) corresponding to the five aforementioned facets (JS1-JS5). An overall job satisfaction composite score (JS_Total) was mathematically computed as the mean of all five items.

To facilitate inferential between-group statistical comparisons (specifically one-way ANOVA and MANOVA testing), all respondents were classified into Low, Moderate, and High burnout tertile groups based on their composite burnout score ($n=8$ per group). Correspondingly, they were also classified into Low, Moderate, and High job satisfaction tertile groups ($n_{Low}=9$, $n_{Mod}=7$, $n_{High}=8$). This tertile-based statistical stratification was deliberately employed over published, generalized clinical cut-offs because the sample consisted of a single, highly homogenous occupational cohort, and within-sample relative standing provides the most sensitive and meaningful comparative framework for exploratory organizational analysis.

4.3. Statistical Analysis Process

The formalized analytical process proceeded sequentially through six stages: internal consistency assessment utilizing Cronbach's α to validate item homogeneity; distributional normality verification via Shapiro-Wilk testing to dictate the use of parametric versus non-parametric downstream models;

computation of descriptive statistics alongside clinical prevalence benchmarking; full correlation matrices utilizing Pearson coefficients, backed by Spearman rank (ρ) tests as a robust mathematical check for any variables violating normality assumptions, complete with pairwise p-values; one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) generating Cohen's d effect sizes, with Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc t-tests to strictly control family-wise error rates, and accompanied by Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric corroboration; one-way Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) utilizing both Wilks' Λ and Pillai's V test statistics, culminating in a simultaneous multiple linear regression to isolate unique predictor variance while controlling for multicollinearity. The threshold for statistical significance was rigorously maintained at $\alpha=.05$ (two-tailed) throughout all procedures.

5. Statistical Analysis and Results Integration

5.1. Preliminary Analyses and Internal Consistency Validations

The deployed instrumentation demonstrated exceptional psychometric reliability within this specific multidisciplinary cohort. Cronbach's alpha coefficients confirmed near-perfect internal item homogeneity across the burnout dimensions: $\alpha=.981$ for Emotional Exhaustion, $\alpha=.928$ for Depersonalization, and $\alpha=.959$ for Personal Accomplishment. The tailored job satisfaction scale similarly achieved an acceptable-to-good reliability metric of $\alpha=.759$. These robust coefficients confirm that each subscale functions as a highly precise, unidimensional construct, fully justifying the mathematical computation of composite mean scores for complex regression modeling.

Subsequent Shapiro-Wilk normality tests confirmed that EE ($W=.949$, $p=.252$), DP ($W=.940$, $p=.163$), and JS_Total ($W=.982$, $p=.932$) did not significantly deviate from a normal Gaussian distribution, thereby meeting the strict assumptions required for standard parametric testing. The Personal Accomplishment variable, however, exhibited a moderate, statistically significant departure from normality due to a positive skew ($W=.906$, $p=.029$). Consequently, while parametric procedures were confidently employed for EE, DP, and JS_Total, Spearman rank correlations and Kruskal-Wallis testing were systematically deployed as non-parametric confirmations for any analyses involving the PA subscale.

5.2. Descriptive Statistics and Burnout Profiles

The descriptive statistical analysis (detailed in Table 1) reveals a clinical workforce operating at a state of moderate baseline psychological stress, mirroring the broader global healthcare trends. Mean Emotional Exhaustion fell squarely in the moderate range of the six-point scale ($M=3.07$, $SD=1.22$; scale midpoint=3.5), indicating that the average specialist is navigating continuous, tangible levels of emotional fatigue and clinical depletion. Depersonalization was positioned

slightly lower and exhibited a much narrower statistical spread ($M=2.94$, $SD=0.96$), suggesting a more uniformly distributed baseline of moderate cynicism across the entire clinical sample. Personal Accomplishment (prior to reverse scoring for interpretation) averaged 3.71 ($SD=1.00$), representing a moderately preserved sense of clinical efficacy despite the exhausting environment.

When applying standard, globally recognized clinical benchmarks (High risk ≥ 4.0 , Moderate risk 2.0–3.99, Low risk < 2.0 on the 1–6 scale) to these distributions, the true severity of the organizational strain crystallizes. For Emotional Exhaustion, exactly one-third of the clinical specialists (33.3%, $n=8$) mathematically met the criteria for high burnout risk, while 50.0% ($n=12$) occupied the moderate risk band, leaving a mere 16.7% ($n=4$) in the healthy, low-risk range. Depersonalization yielded a different pattern: 16.7% at high risk, a massive 70.8% concentrated in moderate risk, and 12.5% at low risk. For Personal Accomplishment (where low empirical scores reflect high burnout risk), 12.5% of the staff demonstrated dangerous deficits in perceived efficacy, 70.8% moderate, and 16.7% high.

Within the multidimensional satisfaction domains, specialists rated the perceived appropriateness of their clinical workload (JS3: $M=6.96$, $SD=1.46$) most favorably. Conversely, the perception of Team Support (JS2)—a variable entirely dependent on the quality of internal business communication and project management cohesion—received the absolute lowest ratings ($M=5.54$, $SD=1.06$).

5.3. Correlational Analysis: Mapping Subscales and Satisfaction Facets

The execution of a full correlation matrix (Table 2) yielded profound, highly significant insights into the mechanical architecture of occupational burnout within this sample. The three burnout subscales demonstrated extreme internal structural coherence. EE and DP showed a massive positive association ($r=.832$, $p<.001$), proving that clinical specialists who are emotionally drained almost immediately develop a callous emotional distance from their work environment. EE and PA were strongly negatively correlated ($r=-.784$, $p<.001$), as were DP and PA ($r=-.816$, $p<.001$), mathematically confirming that higher depletion and systemic cynicism inevitably crush a professional's sense of accomplishment.

Hypotheses H1, H2, and H3, which predicted significant directional relationships between these burnout dimensions and overall job satisfaction, were resoundingly supported by the data.

H1 Supported: Emotional Exhaustion correlated heavily and negatively with overall satisfaction ($r=-.565$, $p=.004$). Specialists reporting higher emotional depletion systematically rated all satisfaction facets lower, with the absolute strongest negative associations striking Work-Life Balance (JS5: $r=-.601$, $p=.002$) and Working Conditions (JS1: $r=-.537$, $p=.007$).

H2 Strongly Supported: Depersonalization emerged as the most toxic burnout subscale, correlating most severely with overall job satisfaction ($r=-.777$, $p<.001$).

Table 1

Descriptive Statistical Analysis Results

Variable	Mean (M)	Std. Dev (SD)	Median (Mdn)	Interquartile Range (IQR)	Min–Max	Scale
Emotional Exhaustion (EE)	3.07	1.22	3.00	2.08–4.05	1.20–5.10	1–6
Depersonalization (DP)	2.94	0.96	3.00	2.44–3.25	1.25–5.00	1–6
Personal Accomplishment (PA)*	3.71	1.00	3.38	3.00–4.16	2.00–6.00	1–6
JS Total	6.26	1.05	6.20	5.60–7.00	4.20–8.40	1–10
JS1 – Working Conditions	6.54	1.93	7.00	5.00–8.00	3.00–9.00	1–10
JS2 – Team Support	5.54	1.06	6.00	5.00–6.00	4.00–8.00	1–10
JS3 – Workload Fit	6.96	1.46	6.50	6.00–8.00	5.00–9.00	1–10
JS4 – Professional Dev.	6.08	1.25	6.00	5.00–7.00	4.00–8.00	1–10
JS5 – Work-Life Balance	6.17	1.49	6.00	5.00–7.25	4.00–9.00	1–10

Compiled by the authors based on primary survey dataset.

*Note. PA is scored so that higher values indicate greater personal accomplishment (lower burnout risk on this dimension).

Table 2

Full Correlation Matrix

	EE	DP	PA	JS_Total	JS1	JS2	JS4	JS5
EE	—	.832	-.784	-.565	-.537	-.484	-.424	-.601
DP		—	-.816	-.777	-.805	-.678	-.584	-.809
PA			—	.742	.682	.482	.587	.823
JS_Total				—	.885	.791	.814	.927

Compiled by the authors based on primary survey dataset.

Note. EE=Emotional Exhaustion; DP=Depersonalization; PA=Personal Accomplishment; JS1=Working Conditions; JS2=Team Support; JS4=Professional Development; JS5=Work-Life Balance. JS3 (Workload Fit) omitted as no burnout subscale reached significance.

Crucially, from an organizational communication standpoint, DP severely undermined perceptions of Working Conditions (JS1: $r=-.805$, $p<.001$), Work-Life Balance (JS5: $r=-.809$, $p<.001$), and critically, Team Support (JS2: $r=-.678$, $p<.001$).

H3 Supported: Personal Accomplishment exhibited a robust positive association with overall satisfaction (Pearson $r=.742$, $p<.001$; validated by Spearman $\rho=.747$, $p<.001$ due to the non-normal PA distribution). This construct acted as a powerful determinant of perceived Work-Life Balance (JS5: $r=.823$) and Working Conditions (JS1: $r=.682$).

A theoretically profound and academically vital anomaly emerged from this correlation matrix: not a single burnout subscale exhibited a statistically significant correlation with the perceived appropriateness of the clinical workload (JS3). The Pearson coefficients for EE against JS3 ($p=.231$), DP against JS3 ($r=.099$, $p=.646$), and PA against JS3 ($r=.063$, $p=.770$) uniformly failed to approach significance. This profound statistical disconnect implies that, within this specific cohort, JS3 functions as an entirely independent dimension of the work environment that operates outside the psychological burnout process.

5.4. Univariate Group Differences (ANOVA)

Hypothesis H4 projected that categorical burnout groups would demonstrate statistically significant variations in baseline job satisfaction. Prior to execution, Levene's test confirmed strict homogeneity of variance across the three burnout tertiles for JS_{Total} ($F(2, 21)=0.006$, $p=.994$). The subsequent one-way ANOVA yielded a massive, statistically significant main effect: $F(2, 21)=8.221$, $p=.002$, $\eta^2=.439$. This represents a profoundly large effect size by any conventional standard, indicating mathematically that burnout group membership alone accounts for 43.9% of the total variance in job satisfaction. Non-parametric corroboration via the Kruskal-Wallis test strictly confirmed this outcome ($H(2)=9.739$, $p=.008$).

Bonferroni-adjusted post-hoc pairwise comparisons isolated the primary statistical discontinuity explicitly between the Low and High burnout cohorts ($t(14)=3.783$, adjusted $p=.006$, Cohen's $d=1.891$). An effect size of $d=1.89$ represents a chasm in lived organizational experience; specialists in the Low burnout group reported substantially higher global job satisfaction ($M=7.18$, $SD=0.91$) than their heavily burdened peers in the High burnout group ($M=5.55$, $SD=0.81$). The comparison between Moderate and High cohorts failed to reach corrected significance ($p=.650$), suggesting that the true psychological cliff occurs between low and moderate burnout states.

To comprehensively examine the mirror relationship, reciprocal one-way ANOVAs tested whether burnout subscale means differed structurally across Low, Moderate, and High job satisfaction groups.

All three subscales generated highly significant effects accompanied by large effect sizes:

Emotional Exhaustion: $F(2, 21)=5.896$, $p=.009$, $\eta^2=.360$ (KW: $p=.013$). High-JS specialists ($M=2.06$) reported significantly lower EE than both Low-JS ($M=3.50$, $d=1.41$) and Moderate-JS ($M=3.67$, $d=1.82$) groups.

Depersonalization: $F(2, 21)=12.743$, $p<.001$, $\eta^2=.548$ (KW: $p=.002$). This variable proved to be the most sensitive discriminator in the entire study. High-JS respondents demonstrated vastly lower DP ($M=2.06$) than their Low-JS counterparts ($M=3.72$, $d=2.14$).

Personal Accomplishment: $F(2, 21)=11.333$, $p<.001$, $\eta^2=.519$ (KW: $p=.003$). High-JS respondents possessed substantially higher PA ($M=4.70$) compared to the Low-JS group ($M=3.10$, $d=-1.95$).

5.5. Multivariate Group Differences (MANOVA)

To assess the systemic, cross-dimensional nature of these constructs, Hypothesis H5 utilized complex one-way MANOVA modeling to examine joint multivariate profile differentiation.

When testing the combined, simultaneous vector of the five job satisfaction facets against burnout group membership, the multivariate test yielded a statistically significant result: Wilks' $\Lambda=.352$, $F(10, 34)=2.335$, $p=.032$; Pillai's $V=.769$, producing a multivariate $\eta^2=.649$. This proves that burnout group membership dictates a distinct, unified profile of job satisfaction, accounting for nearly 65% of the joint variance even after strictly controlling for the inter-correlations among the five satisfaction facets.

However, the reciprocal MANOVA model—testing the combined continuous vector of EE, DP, and PA against categorical job satisfaction group membership—yielded the study's most decisive and powerful statistical conclusion: Wilks' $\Lambda=.216$, $F(6, 38)=7.301$, $p<.001$; Pillai's $V=1.028$, resulting in a staggering multivariate $\eta^2=.784$. This immense effect dictates that 78.4% of the shared, joint variance in the entire burnout profile is determined by job satisfaction levels. This mathematically affirms that job satisfaction operates holistically; its degradation does not attack isolated variables, but rather warps the entire tripartite psychological profile of the clinician simultaneously.

5.6. Predictive Modeling (Simultaneous Multiple Linear Regression)

Hypothesis H6 was evaluated via a simultaneous multiple linear regression designed to isolate the unique, independent predictive contribution of each distinct burnout dimension on overall job satisfaction. The global regression model was highly robust and statistically significant, explaining an impressive 68.7% of the total outcome variance ($R^2=.687$, Adjusted $R^2=.640$, $F(3, 20)=14.638$, $p<.001$).

Despite the extremely strong bivariate correlations of all three predictors to the dependent variable, Depersonalization materialized as the singular unique

significant predictor within the complex multivariate space ($\beta=-0.824$, $SE=0.279$, $t=-2.952$, $p=.008$). The coefficient dictates that for every single unit increase in the DP scale, job satisfaction plummets by 0.824 points on the 10-point scale, holding exhaustion and accomplishment strictly constant.

Emotional Exhaustion approached but did not achieve independent statistical significance ($\beta=0.361$, $t=1.761$, $p=.094$), and Personal Accomplishment mirrored this outcome ($\beta=0.473$, $t=1.978$, $p=.062$). The non-significance of EE and PA within the final equation is not a dismissal of their clinical importance, but rather a direct mathematical consequence of the extreme multicollinearity inherent to the burnout construct ($r_{EE-DP}=.832$, $r_{DP-PA}=-.816$), which naturally inflates standard errors and reduces individual predictor precision. Mathematically, once the massive shared variance is partialled out, the relational toxicity of depersonalization is definitively revealed as the core, independent engine of job dissatisfaction.

6. Discussion, Theoretical Synthesis, and Managerial Implications

The comprehensive synthesis of the rigorous empirical analysis and the expansive global literature review generates highly critical second and third-order insights concerning exactly how project management architecture and business communication networks dictate the ultimate psychological health of a rehabilitation department.

6.1. The Workload Paradox and the Imperative for Agile Case Management

A striking and theoretically profound contradiction emerges directly from the empirical data: while the broader global literature consistently identifies excessive objective workload as the principal, primary driver of emotional exhaustion—specifically quantifying a brutal 6.49 point EE penalty for every single unit increase in workload—the specific, rigorous statistical analysis within this cohort demonstrates an absolute null correlation between the perceived appropriateness of Workload Fit (JS3) and all three burnout dimensions ($p=.646$ to $.941$). Furthermore, Workload Fit was actually the highest-rated satisfaction facet across the entire survey ($M=6.96$).

This glaring statistical paradox suggests a profound organizational reality: *objective clinical volume does not inherently or automatically induce burnout if the project management framework surrounding and supporting that volume is structurally sound*. In advanced project management theory, this phenomenon is perfectly explained by the massive operational difference between an unmanaged, chaotic backlog and a highly optimized, strategically planned sprint. If clinical workloads are objectively heavy but remain highly predictable, possess clearly defined boundaries, and are strictly shielded from unnecessary administrative

bureaucracy by effective clinical project managers, specialists naturally adapt to the volume.

The literature heavily supports this dynamic, repeatedly demonstrating that role clarity, professional autonomy, and manageable workload distribution are the true parameters of stress, rather than raw patient counts. Therefore, the explicit mandate for healthcare administration is not necessarily to universally cap or artificially reduce patient volume—which is often economically or ethically impossible in settings like wartime rehab or understaffed national systems—but rather to aggressively apply Agile project management techniques. By instituting daily workload balancing, ruthlessly eliminating bureaucratic friction, and providing targeted auxiliary support, managers ensure that the immense cognitive load required to *manage* the work does not compound the already intense physical and emotional load required to *execute* it.

6.2. Depersonalization as a Critical, Systemic Communication Failure

The multiple linear regression model yielded the absolute revelation that Depersonalization is the singular significant, unique predictor of global job dissatisfaction ($\beta=-0.824$, $p=.008$) when all variables are analyzed simultaneously. Depersonalization, behaviorally characterized by clinical callousness, profound emotional distancing, and creeping cynicism toward both colleagues and patients, is fundamentally and undeniably a pathology of business communication. It represents the literal severance of the crucial relational and communicative ties that bind a multidisciplinary team together.

When depersonalization infects a clinical project team, horizontal peer support completely collapses. This is explicitly evidenced by the massive negative correlation ($r=-.678$) between the DP subscale and the perceived quality of Team Support. The broader literature validates that environments featuring hostile communication patterns, a systemic lack of managerial trust, or a hyper-focus on raw productivity metrics over person-centered care actively breed and accelerate this exact cynicism.

To effectively combat this, departmental business communication protocols must be radically and permanently re-engineered. Traditional top-down, authoritarian directives must be entirely replaced with horizontal, “equal-footing” communication architectures, wherein clinical project managers engage in active, bi-directional, transparent feedback loops with frontline therapists. Furthermore, incorporating structured, formalized debriefings, protected peer supervision sessions, and highly transparent decision-making rationales into the daily departmental workflow directly dismantles the psychological isolation that fuels systemic cynicism. The empirical data strictly dictates that organizational

interventions specifically targeting relational detachment and communication deficits will yield the absolute highest mathematical return on investment regarding improvements in global job satisfaction and staff retention.

6.3. Strategic HR Interventions and Formal Policy Formulation

The overwhelming, massive multivariate effect size identified in the cross-dimensional MANOVA testing (multivariate $\eta^2=.784$) dictates unequivocally that professional burnout is a systemic, highly integrated organizational failure requiring equally systemic organizational solutions. The academic literature critically notes a massive “implementation gap” across the healthcare sector: while 49 out of 53 identified burnout risk factors are structurally avoidable via management intervention, hospitals persistently and disproportionately rely on superficial, individual-level coping interventions (such as mandatory resilience modules or yoga classes) rather than undertaking the difficult work of structural reform.

Based on the triangulated data, human resources strategy and project management deployment within rehabilitation departments must immediately pivot to a formalized, three-pillar structural model:

1. Leveraging the powerful finding that perceived control over clinical workflows drastically reduces emotional exhaustion (-4.17 points per unit), HR policies must aggressively decentralize clinical decision-making. Frontline specialists must be structurally granted the authority to dynamically alter treatment schedules, modify intervention protocols, and direct resource allocation without being subjected to excessive, paralyzing bureaucratic authorization.

2. Supervisor support is computationally proven to be one of the absolute strongest protective factors against the onset of burnout ($p=.001$). Organizations must mandate rigorous, ongoing leadership and business communication training for all clinical managers. This training must specifically focus on transitioning these individuals from mere administrative taskmasters into active, highly skilled facilitators of team cohesion, psychological safety, and departmental adaptive reserve.

3. Given that the sense of personal accomplishment correlates heavily and positively with overall job satisfaction ($r=.742$) and dictates perceived working conditions, HR frameworks must institutionalize formal recognition programs, heavily fund continuous professional development, and ensure highly equitable financial and professional reward distribution. A professional's sense of accomplishment acts as the primary psychological

fuel that sustains clinical effort in high-stress environments; when organizational structures fail to recognize, cultivate, or reward this effort, the progression toward full-spectrum, irreversible burnout accelerates exponentially.

7. Conclusions

This exhaustive empirical analysis and literature synthesis definitively establishes that professional burnout among rehabilitation specialists is an immensely complex, multidimensional organizational construct that exerts a profound, highly destructive, and mathematically predictable influence on global job satisfaction. The rigorous statistical modeling confirms that while emotional exhaustion serves as the most prevalent initial symptom of systemic strain—affecting fully one-third of the workforce at dangerous clinical thresholds—depersonalization acts as the primary corrosive agent directly responsible for dismantling workplace morale, erasing team cohesion, and driving job dissatisfaction.

Crucially, these findings definitively pivot the organizational narrative away from the flawed concept of individual clinical resilience and firmly root the solution within the administrative domains of advanced project management and structured business communication. The profound statistical anomaly demonstrating a null relationship between perceived workload and burnout within optimized environments proves undeniably that structural clarity, robust peer support, and protected clinical autonomy can effectively buffer highly intense clinical demands. Conversely, deficits in transparent, equal-footing communication and managerial support rapidly catalyze the psychological transition from mere physical fatigue into deeply entrenched, systemic cynicism.

For healthcare administrators, clinical directors, and human resources executives operating within the rehabilitation sector, these insights provide an actionable, empirically validated blueprint for structural reform. Mitigating the rehabilitation burnout crisis requires the immediate abandonment of superficial wellness initiatives in favor of deploying rigorous, formal clinical project management frameworks. By institutionalizing agile resource leveling techniques, enforcing highly transparent, bi-directional business communication, and structurally protecting the clinical autonomy of the specialist, healthcare organizations can successfully intercept the burnout cascade, permanently stabilize their highly specialized workforce, and ensure the continuous, uninterrupted delivery of world-class patient care across all operational contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Inam, A., & Ahmed, M. (2025). From burnout vs bounce-back: risk, protection, and interventions strategies for rehabilitation professionals. *Exploration of Neuroprotective Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.37349/ent.2025.1004117>
2. Kwiatkowska-Ciotucha, D., Załuska, U., Ślasyk-Sobol, M., et al. (2019). Occupational Burnout in Health Care – Analysis of Systemic and Organisational Risks as Well as Possible Preventive Actions. *Econometrics*. <https://doi.org/10.15611/ead.2019.4.04>
3. Steinberg, D., Faurot, K., Thompson, K. L., et al. (2023). Burnout and Wellness Strategies Utilized by Academic Psychiatry Programs: An Analysis and Perspective from the AAP Chairs Council. *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHM.0000000000002245>
4. Rollins, A., Eliacin, J., Russ-Jara, A. L., et al. (2021). Organizational conditions that influence work engagement and burnout: A qualitative study of mental health workers. *Psychiatric rehabilitation journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/prj0000472>
5. Chorna, V., Kolomiets, V., Kotsur, L., et al. (2025). Emotional burnout of specialists of multidisciplinary teams of healthcare institutions: analysis of foreign experience. *Trauma*. <https://doi.org/10.22141/1608-1706.3.26.2025.1017>
6. Burri, S. D., Smyrk, K. M., Melegy, M. S., et al. (2022). Risk factors associated with physical therapist burnout: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2022.01.005>
7. Shin, J., McCarthy, M., Schmidt, C., et al. (2022). Prevalence and Predictors of Burnout Among Occupational Therapy Practitioners in the United States. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2022.048108>
8. Gudžinskienė, V., & Pozdniakovas, A. (2021). Organizational reasons that cause professional burnout syndrome in social workers. *Social work*. <https://doi.org/10.13165/SD-21-19-1-02>
9. Murphy, K., Tucker, M., Cataldo, L. J., et al. (2025). Assessing and Managing Prevalence of Burnout in Inpatient Occupational and Physical Therapists in an Acute Care Setting. *Journal of Acute Care Physical Therapy*. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JAT.0000000000000252>
10. Landi L, Regoli G, Roversi A, Mitello L. Progetto terapeutico riabilitativo e burnout a confronto: una ricerca quali-quantitativa su un'esperienza del servizio psichiatrico di diagnosi e cura [Therapeutical rehabilitation project and burnout: a experience of quali-quantitative research in a psychiatric department]. *Prof Inferm*. 2008 Apr-Jun;61(2):112-9. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18667131>
11. Ferguson, J. J., Fritsch, A., Rentmeester, C., et al. (2023). Feeling exhausted: How outpatient physical therapists perceive and manage job stressors. *Musculoskeletal Care*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/msc.1761>
12. Tabaj, A., Pastirk, S., Bitenc, Č., Masten, R. (2015). Work-Related Stress, Burnout, Compassion, and Work Satisfaction of Professional Workers in Vocational Rehabilitation. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0034355214537383>
13. Lin, C.-C., & Ni, C. (2021). The Association Between Adaptive Reserve, Burnout, and Stress Among Rehabilitation Counselors During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of human services*. <https://doi.org/10.52678/001c.75389>
14. Bruschini, M., Carli, A., Burla, F. (2018). Burnout and work-related stress in Italian rehabilitation professionals: A comparison of physiotherapists, speech therapists and occupational therapists. *Work*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-172657>
15. Ehresmann, C., Kockert, S., Schott, T. (2015). Burnout bei Pflegekräften in der medizinischen Rehabilitation – Spezifische Ansatzpunkte für das Betriebliche Gesundheitsmanagement. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47264-4_8
16. Karamushka, T., Karamushka, L., Visich, O. (2024). Features of professional burnout of the staff of rehabilitation centers for wounded soldiers. *Organization psychology. Economic psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.31108/2.2024.2.32.5>
17. Connolly, E., Abdallah, I., Mohamed, H. A. I., et al. (2025). Cross-sectional study of the prevalence of burnout among Irish rheumatology consultants, specialists registrars, registrars, advanced nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ard.2025.06.533>
18. Albuquerque, C., Martins, R., Alves, V., Cruz, C. (2013). 1991 – Burnout Syndrome In Nurses Specialists Rehabilitation. *European psychiatry*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338\(13\)76928-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(13)76928-1)
19. Mozayan, M., Rezaee, M., Kalantari, M., Tabatabaee, S. (2012). A Survey On Burnout And Related Factors Among Occupational Therapists In Iran. *Rehabilitation Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.22037/R.M.V111.3213>
20. Lu, W., Zhou, P., Zheng, S., Xue, D. (2017). A survey on the current status of rehabilitation services and burnout of rehabilitation professionals in Shanghai. *Work*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-172496>
21. Nowrouzi-kia, B., King, E., Edwards, B., et al. (2024). Burnout and work participation of home care rehabilitation professionals transitioning out of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Occupational Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqae023.1096>

Authors Contribution: All authors have contributed equally to this work.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

*The article was received by the editors 17.03.2026
The article is recommended for printing 26.04.2026
The article was published 30.05.2026*

No artificial intelligence tools were used by the authors during the preparation of this article.

Петрашик Юрій Миколайович, кандидат філологічних наук, доцент, кафедра громадського здоров'я та управління охороною здоров'я, Тернопільський національний медичний університет імені І.Я. Горбачевського Міністерства охорони здоров'я України, Майдан Волі, 1, м. Тернопіль, 46001, Україна, e-mail: yurii.m.petrashyk@tdmu.edu.ua, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1286-110X>, Scopus Author ID: 57204442598

Василик Михайло Миколайович, магістрант програми «Менеджмент в охороні здоров'я», Тернопільський національний медичний університет імені І.Я. Горбачевського Міністерства охорони здоров'я України, Майдан Волі, 1, м. Тернопіль, 46001, Україна, e-mail: vasylyk_mychmyk@tdmu.edu.ua, ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0001-8837-7069>

ВПЛИВ УПРАВЛІННЯ ПРОЄКТАМИ ТА ДІЛОВИХ КОМУНІКАЦІЙ У ВІДДІЛЕННІ РЕАБІЛІТАЦІЇ НА ЗАДОВОЛЕНІСТЬ РОБОТОЮ ТА ЗАПОБІГАННЯ ЕМОЦІЙНОМУ ВИГОРАННЮ ПЕРСОНАЛУ

Предмет дослідження охоплює міждисциплінарну сферу, що поєднує управління людськими ресурсами, організаційну психологію та управління в галузі охорони здоров'я. Зокрема, у ньому розглянуто, як інтеграція методологій управління клінічними проєктами та структурованих форм ділового спілкування впливає на багатовимірну задоволеність роботою та сприяє зменшенню професійного вигорання серед персоналу спеціалізованих реабілітаційних відділень. Основною метою є всебічна оцінка складних, багатовимірних взаємозв'язків між трикомпонентною структурною моделлю професійного вигорання та окремими аспектами суб'єктивної задоволеності роботою, що дозволить розробити практичні заходи з управління проєктами та управління людськими ресурсами, спрямовані на стабілізацію клінічного персоналу. Завданням є ретельне тестування шести спрямованих гіпотез щодо кореляційних, однофакторних, багатофакторних та прогностичних взаємозв'язків між емоційним виснаженням, деперсоналізацією, зниженням особистої ефективності та п'ятьма змінними задоволеності роботою. Ця емпірична оцінка ґрунтується на широкій світовій літературі щодо психологічного здоров'я фахівців з реабілітації в різних соціально-економічних та організаційних умовах. У дослідженні використано методи перехресного кореляційного аналізу із застосуванням спеціальної 22-пунктної адаптації Шкали вигорання за Масlach та спеціальної 5-бальної шкали задоволеності роботою. Цей інструмент було надано когорті фахівців із різних галузей, які займаються реабілітацією (N=24). Ретельний процес статистичної оцінки включає перевірку внутрішньої узгодженості за коефіцієнтом альфа Кронбаха, тести нормальності розподілу Шапіро-Вілка, кореляційні матриці Пірсона та Спірмена, однофакторний дисперсійний аналіз (ANOVA) з пост-гук тестуванням, скоригованим за Бонферроні, непараметричне підтвердження за Крускалом-Уоллісом, багатофакторний дисперсійний аналіз (MANOVA) для диференціації багатовимірних профілів та одночасну множинну лінійну регресію. Результати: Аналіз свідчить про значну поширеність емоційного виснаження: 33,3 % учасників дослідження віднесені до групи високого ризику. Незважаючи на це, деперсоналізація виявляється найсильнішим унікальним негативним предиктором загальної задоволеності роботою в багатофакторному просторі ($\beta = -0,824$, $p = 0,008$). Тестування MANOVA підтверджує дуже значущу багатофакторну диференціацію профілів між групами задоволеності (Λ Вілкса=0,216, $p < 0,001$). Важлива аномалія – відсутність значущої кореляції між об'єктивною адекватністю робочого навантаження та будь-якою субшкалою вигорання. Висновки: Висновки демонструють, що професійне вигорання глибоко і нерозривно пов'язане з незадоволеністю роботою, що зумовлено насамперед відстороненістю у відносинах та комунікації (деперсоналізацією), а не об'ємом завдань. Ефективна профілактика вимагає системних, структурних клінічних втручань з управління проєктами, що наголошують на оптимізації комунікації, розвитку лідерських якостей та захисті клінічної автономії, а не на індивідуальному тренуванні резистентності.

Ключові слова: управління проєктами, вигорання, задоволеність роботою, ділова комунікація, реабілітологи, організаційна поведінка, менеджмент охорони здоров'я, мультидисциплінарні команди, управління людськими ресурсами.

Внесок авторів: всі автори зробили рівний внесок у цю роботу.

Конфлікт інтересів: автори повідомляють про відсутність конфлікту інтересів.

Стаття надійшла до редакції 17.03.2026

Стаття рекомендована до друку 26.04.2026

Статтю опубліковано 30.05.2026

При підготовці даної статті інструменти штучного інтелекту авторами не використовувалися.