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The fundamental role of perception in science can hardly be overestimated, and the nature of visual perception imposes constraints on the overall process of cognition. According to different estimations, around 80% of perceived information is transmitted via sense of vision. In this way, it is not surprising, that the visual perception and, respectively, perception of colours have been in mainstream of geography and environmental science. So, already in the twenties of the last century Russian geographer P. Semyonov-Tyan-Shansky and Finnish geographer J. Granö independently mention colour and other perception phenomena in his books as a subject of geographical research [26; 12]. J. Granö even provides maps of colour patches of environment for particular seasons and dates, and suggests Ostwald’s colour system for “chromatological examination of landscape” [12, p. 99]. Later, with progressing global changes in natural environment under the economic and social people’s impact, the emphasis shifted upwards the economic role of the measurable visual quality of natural environment and visual values of nature, often connected to the variety of cultural ecosystem services or information functions of landscapes [8]. This trend is discussed in the previous article in more details [6], while here the intangible values of nature are conceptualized in as intangible environmental resources, formalizing both natural and semi-natural environment, perceived visually.

Colours of land cover, as a component of topological visual phenomena of environment, are accessible for study with modern remote sensing (as it will be shown further), so the problem of the given research is to quantify the colouristic harmony of land cover within the study area in Estonia, using known methods of its assessment. To avoid some misconception between the notions of landscape and environment, the landscape here, following the European Landscape Convention and some extent and some leading geographers [9; 2], will be understood as a mental image, resulting from a human experience in physical environment, perceived visually. Spectral characteristics of land cover as a part of physical environment served as a scientific object, while their spatial composition – as a subject of the study.

Actual scientific researches and issues analysis
After mentioned above fundamental works by P. Semyonov-Tyan-Shansky and J. Granö, later studies of landscape colours were shifted mostly into a body of landscape architecture, in particular in a seasonal aspect [27], with numerous findings, some of them...
are listed as follows. Landscape preferences increased with increasing of the colour diversity of flowering plants in summer [7]. Increasing of amount and diversity of colours enhances landscape preferences in general [13]. Latest studies still demonstrate the significant role of changing, depending on the season, landscape colours in formation of landscape preferences [25]. Flowering stages of vegetation seasonality are preferred, being strongly determined by physical conditions of the environment [17]. Contemporary landscape studies involve colour already on the roughest level – they are about “blue” and “green” spaces [28; 19]. Colour hues, levels of saturation and brightness matter for emotional response and well-being [19].

Our ability to see colours is based on the sunlight, penetrating the atmosphere of the Earth within so-called atmospheric windows. The sunlight is an electromagnetic radiation (EM radiation or EMR) in some diapason of the electromagnetic spectrum. EMR is characterized with wavelength (m) and frequency (Hz). According to the wavelength, the electromagnetic spectrum is divided into (in ascending order): gamma waves, X-waves, ultraviolet light, visible light, infrared light, microwaves, and radio waves [22]. The part of the spectrum, perceived visually, in most cases varies approximately within 400-700 nm. The cone spectral sensitivity of human eyes is determined by three types of cones, sensitive to three main colours: red, green, blue [29]. That is why the most common colour model, used in electronic devices and remote sensing, consist of the mentioned colours and called RGB. Atmospheric windows, mentioned above, describe diapasons of the electromagnetic spectrum, which could not be caught by Earth atmosphere; one of such windows exists for the range of 300-750 nm [5]. Thereby, the atmospheric window, allowing the EMR to reach the land surface and land cover, as well as our eyes, belongs to visible light mostly. When the sunlight strikes an object, 5 types of interaction occur: transmission, absorption, reflectance, scattering, emission [15]. Reflected and emitted solar radiation is caught by passive satellite sensor in the quite wide range of the spectrum (including visible diapason) according to the wavelength, and obtained multispectral satellite imagery could be processed for scientific needs. The reflected solar radiation determines the colour of the Earth land cover and land surface. Landsat-7 ETM+ Handbook defines colour as “property of an object, which is dependent on the wavelength of the light, it reflects or, in the case of a luminescent body, the wavelength of the light it emits. If in either case this light is of a single wavelength, the colour seen is a pure spectral colour, but, if the light of two or more wavelengths is emitted, the colour will be mixed” [15, p. 168].

According to S. Bell, several systems of colour modeling have been developed, but they have a three-way organization of hue in common, lightness/value or saturation/chroma [3]. Hue describes the parts of the visible spectrum, while lightness/value and saturation/chroma are dimensions, describing darkness and grayness of each hue respectively. These three-dimensional colour spaces (for example, HSV, HLS, Lab and many others) are capable to precisely enough define each of almost infinite number of colours, while a human eye is able to distinguish only several thousands of them. One of the first and the most commonly used in geography three-dimensional colour systems was developed by Albert Munsell (1915) [21] and it is called after his name. It should be mentioned, that it is based on the human ability to distinguish colours, while other models are rather mathematical idealizations, so for the first time there were decided to use the Munsell’s colour model and in further works move to others. This model is still used by US Geological Service (USGS) for a description of soil colours and geological bodies [30] and implemented in satellite imagery processing software, such as ENVI.

Against this background concerning the nature of human colour vision and colour modeling, the applicability of satellite imagery use for purposes of the colouristic study of land cover becomes obvious. For example, multispectral sensor OLI, carried on the satellite Landsat-8, registers reflected solar energy, mentioned above, including Blue, Green and Red parts of the electromagnetic spectrum in the respective bands [31], corresponding to the types of cones, sensitive to these colours in human eyes. The “natural colours” composite of such bands creates a colour model of land cover, similar to the one, visible by human eye from the same height and prospective. Application of multitemporal remote sensing data allows following sometimes dramatic changes in the colours of land cover after the phenological and other changes [15].

There is a wide variety of successful papers, based on colour features of the environment, some of them are referenced further as follows. Colour metrics, derived from Landsat satellite imagery, were used to distinguish burned/non-burned areas [18]. Colour metrics, derived from high-resolution satellite imagery, were used to detect buildings among other urban landscape surfaces [16]. Study of phytoplankton amount in the Gulf of Aden, basing on ocean colour data, provided by ESA Ocean Colour project and low-resolution MODIS data was performed [11]. Soil colour has been found to be easily related to spectral properties when expressed in RGB trichromatic coordinates [10]. Red, green and blue bands of Landsat imagery were converted to HSV colour space to distinguish lithological compo-
sition of rocks [1]. Temporal water monitoring is possible on the basis of colorimetric data [23]. Colorimetric analysis is also used even for so basic operation, as land cover classification [20]. Provided literature represents only «peeling the first lay of the onion» since the use of transformation of Red, Green and Blue satellite bands into other dimensions is a quite common task in remote sensing and almost all the GIS-packages contain such option for multispectral imagery.

Discretion of unsolved earlier aspects of general issue

Despite the fact of the wide recognition of the colour impact on the landscape values and preferences, human well-being in general, and applicability of remote sensing data for purposes of colour detection, there were no attempts to quantify the colour harmony of the land cover as a component of the environment of the Earth. On the other hand, the notion of colour harmony has been widely discussing in the theory and practice of art, psychology, computer science and other fields, except the environmental science and geography. Indeed, there are several dozens of verified quantitative techniques of colour harmony assessment (mainly for colour pairs or colour in front of another colour as a background), while assessment of colour harmony of visual harmony is still based on the subjective evaluation. The given article begins a study of the colour harmony of visual environment in geography.

The aim of the article is to quantify colour harmony of land cover, using remote sensing data and substantiated techniques. The concept of colour harmony is well-developed throughout last centuries, and nowadays it is proven to be homogeneous and universal enough to design computational models, that would predict, to some extent, landscape values, preferences and people’s outdoor behaviour, activities as cultural ecosystem (or landscape) services.

Presentation of the main material

Data and methods

Radiometrically calibrated and atmospherically corrected Landsat-8 OLI image for 14.06.2016 and 20.10.2016 were used in the given study to compute the colour harmony scores for land cover within Vooremaa Landscape Protection Area (in Estonia), comparing to each other in terms of different phenological phases (the beginning of the growing period and its ending). Imagery were converted into the Munsell colour space, consisting of three dimensions: Hue (the colour itself – Blue, Green, Red, Magenta etc.), Chroma (Saturation, or colour purity; briefly could be described as an amount of gray in Hue), Value (Lightness, or, roughly, amount of white in Hue). Albert Munsell intuitively developed his own criterion of colour harmony (1921) [4]. He proposed to keep the balance between the colour strength of particular hue (product of value and chroma in his colour system) and the area of this hue:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{M} C \cdot A_n = 0,$$

where \( M \) is the total number of colours within some zone or floating circle; \( C \) – colour strength, calculated as chroma of colour \( n \times \) value of colour \( n \); \( A_n \) is the area of colour \( n \). The floating circle of 21 pixels in size was used as a compromise to keep the homogeneity of land cover units against their diversity. Results of the modeling, rescaled from 0 to 1 values are on fig. 1 (colour harmony decreases from 0 to 1). Note the inverse relationship: the colour with the lower color strength should be is assigned to the larger visual area to create colour harmony.

Schloss & Palmer conducted empirical experiments to distinguish colour harmony values from colour preferences (many other authors did not pay a sufficient attention to this problem) [24]. They revealed several regularities, and one of the most generals is that colour pairs, more similar in hue and with lower saturation, tend to be harmonious. Hue similarity was calculated as Haralick’s Homogeneity function of Grey Level Co-Occurrence Matrix [14], then the resulting harmony model was computed, using Fuzzy overlay analysis in ArcGIS 10.4.1 (results in scale from 0 to 1 are on fig. 2, colour harmony increases from 0 to 1).

Results and discussion

Analysis of the localities with different band composites shows, that water bodies, forests and wetlands have the highest scores of both colour harmonies, while some crop fields (mainly with saturated young vegetation and open soil) have the lowest colour harmony scores. Munsell’s colour harmony technique is sensitive to the one type of crops only, colour harmony after Schloss & Palmer seem to be more adequate and applicable. However, both techniques show similar results in differentiation of land cover, taking into consideration, that Munsell’s score was calculated with a floating circle of 21 pixels in diameter and reflects mainly areas, “disharmonious” in colours. According to the Munsell’s model for the different stages of cropping season, the area of disharmonious colouristically areas of land cover increases in autumn (mainly because of the colour of open soil as a result of crop harvesting). Models after Schloss & Palmer also show the tendency to the decreasing of the colour harmony of land cover with an increasing of colour contrasts in the end of the cropping season. Anyway, two selected models provide the objective quantitative indicator of the colour harmony of the visual environment, so in close prospective, it would allow a permanent monitoring of its loss and gaining
Fig. 1. Maps of colour harmony scores for land cover (inverted Munsell’s score)

Fig. 2. Maps of colour harmony scores for land cover (Schloss & Palmer scores)

according to the natural variability, combined with the sustainability of people activities. Obviously, those discussed models represent the colour harmony in different details (Munsell’s model is
very generalized), and there are other authors, providing principally different models of colour harmony score computation. They should be taken into account in the further papers.

Of course, the obtained results are delimited with abilities of satellite sensors. Firstly, the physical environment is changing permanently, and the frequency of remote data producing is not sufficient enough to catch the diurnal dynamics and even seasonal one entirely; however, we are able to model the colour dynamics on the most expressive stages of the development of the environment. Secondly, the sky colour appearance, responsible for the half of the visual scene is beyond the scope of this study. Thirdly, remote sensing data provides the horizontal projection only, while visual perception is obviously different and a further combination of both in-situ and remote approaches would enrich the results. Fourthly, the spatial resolution of the remote sensing data (for example, 15 metres for used pan-sharpened Landsat-8) does not allow to fixate the details of colour harmony (flowers, separate trees, and houses, etc.), so the colour harmony scores are scale-dependent. Despite all the mentioned restrictions, remote sensing techniques, widely used for other geographic tasks, seem to be very promising for detection and monitoring of colour harmony of land cover as an important dimension of intangible environmental resources. What is more, J. Granó argues, that mapping of environment for purposes of landscape examination must imply not only areas, seen from a particular viewpoint, but all some area as a whole [12], so the problem of mismatch between human visual field on the ground and satellite imagery scene is eliminated within geographical study.  

**Conclusions**

To sum up, the given paper describes the scores of the colour harmony of land cover within the study area in Estonia, basing on the non-controversial and reliable enough criteria of colour harmony from theories of colour and psychology of perception. The potential of remote sensing use for the purposes of colour harmony modeling is presented and discussed. During the steps of analysis of satellite imagery, some correspondence between the range of colour harmony score and types of land cover is revealed. These primary results allow putting forward a hypothesis about the regular relationships between physical and cultural characteristics of the environment (such as greenness, wetness, soil quality, distance to the roads, settlements, farms and forests), and colour harmony scores. What is more, findings of different authors would enrich ways of possible computation of colour harmony of land cover. Thereby, the prospects for the development of such direction of geography, closely related to the art, theories of perception and environmental psychology, are obvious. It is especially true in view of practical needs of landscape management and planning; the proposed techniques would allow measuring precisely the extent of loss or gaining of colour harmony as a result of co-action of natural and cultural agents, so within the concept of so-called weak sustainability it would be possible to overcome the underestimation of the loss of natural capital for purposes of increasing of human prosperity and develop ways of compensation to preserve the natural beauty of the nature.
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